



COPY OF PAPERS  
ORIGINAL FILED

# 14/PCG for  
Ream  
9-12-02  
K. Oliver

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Yue, et al.  
Serial No. 09/244,270  
Filing Date: February 3, 1999

Examiner: D. Chung  
Art Unit: 2672  
Our file no. 00100.01.7019  
Docket No. M-7019-US

RECEIVED  
SEP 06 2002

Technology Center 2600

Title: **OPTIMAL INITIAL RASTERIZATION STARTING POINT**

Box Non-Fee Amendment  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*Certificate of First Class Mailing*  
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the  
United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope  
addressed to: Box Non-Fee Amendment, Assistant  
Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office,  
Washington, D.C. 20231, on this date.

8/26/02 *Karenina Oliver*  
Date Karenina Oliver

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111**

Dear Sir:

This Response is being submitted in reply to the Office Action dated June 19, 2002, relating to the above-identified application. Reexamination and reconsideration of said application are respectfully requested.

**REMARKS**

These Remarks are being submitted in reply to the Office Action dated June 19, 2002.

I. **Summary of the Examiner's Rejections**

Claims 1-3 and 14-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aleksic (U.S. Patent No. 5,914,722) in view of Lentz, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,446,836) and Sfarti (U.S. Patent No. 5,528,737), and further in view of Wong, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,034,699). Claims 1-3 and 14-27 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2 and 4-15 of co-pending Application No. 09/244,265.